

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/764,392	01/19/2001	Masato Nakajima	24500	7547
20529 75	590 04/19/2004		EXAMINER .	
NATH & ASSOCIATES 1030 15th STREET			DASTOURI, MEHRDAD	
6TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		2623	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(a)			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/764,392	NAKAJIMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mehrdad Dastouri	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims		•				
 4) Claim(s) 1,4-10 and 12-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4-10 and 12-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Association and (a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Information Disclosure	ng Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicants' amendment filed February 6, 2004, has been entered and made of record.
- 2. Objection to Claims 1-13 have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.
- 3. 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection of Claims 3 and 4 have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.
- 4. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combined teachings of Kamada et al (U.S. 6,466,694) and Kazuyuki et al (EP 0 587 450).

Regarding Claim 1, Kamada et al disclose a document modification apparatus for modifying image data read by image input means, comprising:

Art Unit: 2623

region extracting means for extracting a plurality of regions from the image data, each being a unit to be modified (Figure 1A, Region Identifying Unit 2; Figure 2, Extracting Unit 15, Identifying Unit 11; Column 5, Lines 1-46; Column 6, Lines 49-61);

region selection means for selecting target regions to be modified from the plurality of regions through an operator (Abstract; Figures 2-4; Column 5, Lines 31-40; Column 6, Lines 62-67, Column 7, Lines 1-10; Column 7, Lines 41-67, Column 8, Lines 1-11; Column 10, Lines 10-18);

modification specifying means for specifying kinds of modifications for the target regions selected by the region selection means through the operator (Figures 2-4; Column 7, Lines 41-67, Column 8, Lines 1-11); and

modification image making means for making a modified image, based on the kinds of the modifications, in the regions in the image data selected by the region selection means, specified by the modification specifying means (Figures 2-4; Column 9, Lines 36-67, Column 10, Lines 1-18);

wherein the region extracting means extracts rectangle regions as the target regions to be modified, and the region extracting means comprises a first judgment means for judging whether an attribute of the rectangle region is one of a "character" and "ruled-line" (Figure 2, Identifying Unit 11; Column 5, Lines 1-2; Figure 3-14; Column 6, Lines 49-67, Column 7, Lines 1-10; Column 10, Lines 25-67, Column 11, Lines 1-24), and a second judgment means for judging whether the attribute of the rectangle region is one of "table", "photograph" and a "frame" (Figure 2, Recognizing 12; Column 5, Lines

Art Unit: 2623

3-4; Figure 3-14; Column 6, Lines 49-67, Column 7, Lines 1-10; Column 10, Lines 25-67, Column 11, Lines 1-24).

Kamada et al do not specifically disclose the judgment for identifying details of process performed by second

Kazuyuki et al, in the same field of endeavor concerning identifying and categorizing different portions of an input document image as being "character", "ruled-line", "table", "photograph", or the like, disclose a document image processing system comprising projecting means for taking a projection data in vertical and horizontal directions of the extracted rectangle regions to judge the attribute of the rectangle region is one of a "table", "photograph" and a "frame" according to a number of peaks detected from the projection data (Figures 8, 18, 19 and 21; Columns 10, 16 and 17).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teachings of Kamada et al and Kazuyuki et al to identify different portion of a document image using a projection analyzing method in the vertical and horizontal directions because it is a conventional technique routinely implemented in the art (Kazuyuki et al, Column 1, Lines 22-34, as disclosed in JP-A-1-15889). This conventional methodology will result in more accurate and reliable categorization of document image content.

Regarding Claim 4, Kamada et al further disclose a document modification apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the region extracting means integrates the rectangle region, whose attribute has been judged as "character" by the first judgment

Art Unit: 2623

means, per line and paragraph (Figures 8, 10, 19-26, 34 and 35; Column 14, Lines 1-14), and

The region selection means selects the target region to be modified per line and paragraph through the operator (Figures 22-26; Column 14, Lines 15-67, Column 15, Lines 1-25).

Regarding Claim 5, Kamada et al further disclose a document modification apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the region extracting means displays on a display screen the rectangle regions extracted by the region extracting means with the image data read by the image input means, and selects whether each rectangle region on the display screen is modified or not through the operator (Figures 22-26; Column 14, Lines 15-67, Column 15, Lines 1-25).

Regarding Claim 7, Kamada et al further disclose a document modification apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the modification image making means comprises memory means for storing position information of the selected rectangle regions by the region selection means and the modification information regarding the kinds of the modifications specified by the modification specifying means (Figure 36, Memory 62; Column 6, Lines 62-67, Column 7, Lines 1-25), and

The modification image making means performs the modification for the image data read by the image input means based on the position information and the modification stored in the memory means (Figure 36, Memory 62; Column 6, Lines 62-67, Column 7, Lines 1-25)

Art Unit: 2623

With regards to Claim 14, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 1 are applicable to Claim 14. Kamada et al further disclose image output means for outputting the modified image obtained by the document modification apparatus (Figure 3A, Displaying Unit 25).

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combined teachings of Kamada et al (U.S. 6,466,694) and Kazuyuki et al (EP 0 587 450) in view of Koga et al (U.S. 5,717,794).

Kamada et al and Kazuyuki et al do not explicitly disclose further limitations of Claim 6.

Koga et al disclose a document recognition and editing system, comprising a modification specifying means which displays an at-a-glance menu showing information regarding kinds of modifications, and selects the modification, to be applied to the selected rectangle regions, from the kinds of the modifications shown in the at-a-glance menu through the operator (Figures 15 and 17; Column 15, lines 12-20; Column 16, Lines 13-67).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kamada et al and Kazuyuki et al combination according to the teachings of Koga et al to implement further limitations recited in Claim 6 because it will expedite document modification process and will minimize processing error.

8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combined teachings of Kamada et al (U.S. 6,466,694) and Kazuyuki et al (EP 0 587 450) in view of Tabata et al (U.S. 4,785,296).

Art Unit: 2623

Kamada et al and Kazuyuki et al do not explicitly disclose further limitations of Claim 8.

Tabata et al disclose a document modification method and system for displaying image data comprising resolution conversion means for changing a resolution of the input image data to a reduced image (Abstract; Figure 1, Reduced image 12); and display means for displaying the reduced image obtained by the resolution conversion means with a rectangle region extracted by the region extraction means (Abstract; Figure 1, Rectangular Block 13; Column 2, Lines 63-68, Column 3, Lines 1-7).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kamada et al and Kazuyuki et al combination according to the teachings of Tabata et al to implement further limitations recited in Claim 8 because it will provide efficient and economic interactive processing of a complex document (Tabata et al; Column 1, Lines 54-58).

9. Claims 9, 10, 12, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combined teachings of Kamada et al (U.S. 6,466,694) and Kazuyuki et al (EP 0 587 450) in view of Kodaira et al (U.S. 6,043,823).

With regards to Claim 9, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 1 are applicable to Claim 9. Kamada et al and Kazuyuki et al do not explicitly disclose automatic modification means for automatic selection and modification of the selected regions.

Art Unit: 2623

Kodaira et al disclose a document processing system which can automatically select and modify regions of a document (Column 2, Lines 26-41; Column 13, Lines 27-54).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kamada et al and Kazuyuki et al combination according to the teachings of Kodaira et al to perform automatic selection and modification of the selected regions because it will expedite document modification process, minimize processing error and eliminate the burden of time-consuming manual modification by the user.

With regards to Claim 10, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 2 are applicable to Claim 10.

With regards to Claim 12, Kodaira et al further disclose the document modification apparatus according to Claim 1, wherein the image input means converts the input image data to binary image data (Figure 3, Step ST301, Binarization Processing).

With regards to Claim 13, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 12 are applicable to Claim 13.

With regards to Claim 15, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 9 are applicable to Claim 15. Kamada et al further disclose image output means for outputting the modified image obtained by the document modification apparatus (Figure 3A, Displaying Unit 25).

Application/Control Number: 09/764,392 Page 9

Art Unit: 2623

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehrdad Dastouri whose telephone number is (703) 305-2438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2623

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEHRDAD DASTOURI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Whehrdad Dastoni

Mehrdad Dastouri Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2623 April 17, 2004